`Grant Scheme on Promoting Effective English Language Learning in Primary Schools Final Report

Please submit the completed report with Principal's signature and school chop on or before 30 November 2021.

• by post (with "PEEGS" clearly written on the envelope) to:

Funding Scheme Team,

Language Education and SCOLAR Section,

Education Infrastructure Division,

Education Bureau,

Room 1702, 17/F, Skyline Tower,

39 Wang Kwong Road,

Kowloon Bay,

Kowloon; and

• by email: peegs@edb.gov.hk

Additional reference notes:

- 1. In accordance with the Agreement under the Scheme, an approved school should:

 - ♦ conduct proper evaluations; and
 - \diamond fulfill reporting responsibilities.
- 2. Do revisit relevant parts of the Approved Plan and give thoughts to the following key evaluation questions before writing up the report.

Parts	Key evaluation questions			
(i) Part B - SWOT Analysis related to the lea	rning and teaching of English			
	How has the school-based project helped address the language learning needs at school (e.g.			
	maximising exposure to English, enhancing academic literacy, nurturing reading habits and			
Weaknesses and threats	improving motivation)?			
	What other development needs have been identified as a result of the project? Do you have			
	any suggestions for future programmes?			
(ii) Part E - How to implement the proposed	school-based English Language curriculum initiative funded by PEEGS?			
	To what extent the stated project aims / objectives have been achieved?			
	How well did the core team carry out their roles and duties? What were the achievements and			
Column #1	areas of improvement? What skills and knowledge have English Language teachers gained?			
	■ Did the project proceed according to the Approved Plan? What were the actions different to			
Proposed school-based English Language curriculum initiatives	it? Why? (Please note that prior approval should be sought for any change in the Approved			
curriculum inilialives	Plan.)			
	What are the successes and shortcomings of the project? What were the practices that worked			
	/ did not work well?			
	Did school complete all project outputs (e.g. learning and teaching materials and activities)?			
Columns #4 to 6	Did the core team review their work against the success criteria through collecting quantitative			
Expected outcomes/Deliverables/Success criteria	and qualitative feedback from stakeholders as pledged?			
Sustainability	Have the expected project outcomes in terms of students' learning and teachers' capacity			
Methods of progress-monitoring and evaluation	enhancement been achieved?			
	What are mid- / long-term outcomes? What can be done to further enhance sustainability?			

Grant Scheme on Promoting Effective English Language Learning in Primary Schools Final Report

(A) Name of School:	CCC But San Primary School_	(File Number: A / B / C / D*_021

(B) School Information and Approved Curriculum Initiatives

Please tick (\checkmark) the appropriate boxes.

Please tick (\bullet) the appropriate boxes). 		
Name of Teacher-in-charge	Chan Ka Lo	School Phone No	2459-8552
Approved Curriculum Initiative(s)	 □ Enrich the English language environment in school throquality resources* ☑ Promote reading* or literacy* across the curriculum □ Enhance e-Learning ☑ Cater for learning diversity □ Strengthen assessment literacy 	ough conducting activ	vities* and/or developing
Approved Usage(s) of Grant	 □ Purchase learning and teaching resources (printed books/of Employ supply teacher(s) □ Employ teacher(s) who is/are proficient in English □ Employ teaching assistant(s) who is/are proficient in English □ Procure services for conducting activity 	lish	ase specify:)

(C) Self-evaluation of Project Implementation

Schools should:

- undertake rigorous project evaluation based on prescribed performance indicators;
- rate their performance using a 4-point scale#;
- * explain, in as much detail as possible, reasons for the ratings; and
- ❖ use the guidance notes (in BLUE) and examples (in RED) as reference.

Criteria	Performance indicators	#Self-eva	luation (Please put	t a 🗸 in the approp	riate box.)
	• Deliverables such as learning and teaching	Yes (Fulfilled)	←	→	No (Not fulfilled)
	resources of acceptable quality are produced,	4	3	2	1
	deployed and used as well as quality English	✓			
	language activities are organised as scheduled.	Justifications:			
	• Additional resources (e.g. printed/e-books,	> 100% of the pro	oject deliverables w	vere completed and	executed by the end
	teachers and teaching assistants) are suitably	of the project y	ear.		
	deployed to achieve the intended goals.	> 2 school-based	RaC resources pack	kages covering a to	tal of 24 lessons
Efficiency	Target groups as stipulated in the approved plan	have be produc	ed.		
(Cost-effectiveness:	have benefitted from the project.	➤ About 95% per	centage of the proje	ect deliverables wer	re executed in class.
production and		Due to the spec	cial class setting arra	angement under Co	vid-19, big classes
execution of project		(2-class weekly	double writing les	sons) cannot be roll	led out in the
deliverables,		activity room (a	act as a school hall)	as mentioned in th	e project. Each class
resources deployment		has its own wee	ekly double writing	lessons in the class	sroom using the
and beneficiary size)		shared resource	es.		
		> 100% of newly	-acquired titles wer	e used for developi	ing RaC modules
		and revamp the	e existing writing pr	ogramme for P4. T	he executed
		programmes ar	e aimed at:		
		2 RaC modules			
		♦ building	their decoding skill	ls for non-fiction te	exts;
			ing students' expos		ts;
		♦ nurturing	g a love of reading;		

Criteria	Performance indicators	#Self-evalı	uation (Please put d	a ✓ in the appropr	iate box.)
			ing their learning o	f cross-curricular c	oncepts;
		♦ enhancing	teachers' understar	nding of cross-curr	iculum
		literacy instruction	ons.		
		At least 8 Writing	<u>Modules</u>		
		♦ Writing re	sources packages c	overing all 8 week	ly writing were
		developed.			
			g the growing diver	sity in the writing o	classroom;
		♦ enhancing	teachers' skills and	d understanding of	differentiation
		strategies.			
		> The supply teach	er took up a total o	of 25 lessons per we	eek and the core
		team took up the	project developme	ent duties as set out	in the plan.
		All English teach		its have benefitted	from the approved
		curriculum initia			
			hout additional reso	•	
			ementing the projec		,
			currently extending	g the programmme	s to another KS2
		levels (P5) after			
Effectiveness	Both observable (such as mastery of target)	Yes (Fulfilled)	←	1	No (Not fulfilled)
(Goal achievement:	language skills) and measurable outcomes (such	4	3	2	1
improvement of	as improvement as reflected by formative and/or		✓		
students' language	summative assessment results) are achieved.	Please measure sch	nool's performance	against the obje	ctives and success
skills, teachers'	• Teachers demonstrate a good understanding of	criteria set out in Pa	•	•	
understanding of new	new curriculum requirements ⁺ in lessons, co-	Whether they h			
curriculum	planning meetings and material development	Why they / son	ne of them have no	t been met	
requirements - Major	process.	, ,			sitive and negative)
renewed emphases in	Monitoring and evaluation tools are effectively	How various types of	•	• •	· · · ·
the Updated English	deployed for continual course corrections and	**			

Criteria	Performance indicators	#Self-evaluation (Please put a ✓ in the appropriate box.)
Language	outcome improvement.	and formative/summative assessment data) are used as evidence of the
Curriculum ⁺ and use		results school has achieved.
of evaluation		Justifications:
instruments for		> 100% of P.4 teachers participating in the weekly review meeting (AAR
ensuring		meeting) agreed that the students enjoyed shared reading activities and
effectiveness)		pre-writing tasks in the RaC and writing modules.
		> 80% of P.4 students could achieve self-improvement in the overall
		writing performance. The programme had helped improve their
		confidence and skills in writing and interests in reading.
		➤ More than 70% of the students involved enjoyed the new reading
		programme and developed better confidence in writing based on their
		writing performance, lesson participation, feedback in the weekly AAR
		and teachers' observation.
		> 100% of the teachers involved agreed that students demonstrated better
		reading motivation.
		> 100% of English teachers (core members of this project) will develop a
	è	better understanding of cross-curricular reading instructions and effective
		differentiation strategies in the writing classroom.
		> Due to Covid-19, the reading pre-and-post-levelling assessment could be
		rolled out successfully not only in P4, they also been rolled out in P2, P3
		and P5. 50% of students involved made improvement in the levelling
		assessments.
		> About 20%, lower than expected, less able students performed not so
		well owing to inadequate scaffolding in class and lack of preparation at
		home.
		> Due to Covid-19, only 3 summative English assessment could be carried
		out throughout the year. The 2nd summative English assessment was

Criteria	Performance indicators	#Self-eval	luation (Please put	a ✓ in the appropr	iate box.)
		assessment mod involved demon About 50% of the improvements in Due to Covid-19 twice in each claimstructional structional structional	les are different in Tastrated improvement the less able students in formative writing 9, the actual lesson ass and all target lesson ategies effectively.	observation was car vel teachers could a	able students riting assessments. rated rried out at least pply related
Impact (Broader and longer- term effects on curriculum enhancement, learning atmosphere and teachers' professional capacity)	 Curriculum initiative(s) implemented has/have added value to the existing English Language curriculum. Curriculum initiative(s) implemented has/have fostered a professional sharing culture among English teachers, resulting in enhanced capacity. The English language learning environment has been enriched and students are more motivated in learning English. 	smoothening to the role(s) of to the extent of delivery of out the way(s) new panel (e.g. three to what extend environment. Justifications: The 2 new RaC bridged the gap skills required for the role of the ro	transitions between the core team in directly collaboration in fact that the transition in the tracking ideas and the conducting property the approved initial treading modules at between different later reading content at	mproved the core key stages); ecting the project and cilitating the conducte Approved Plan; d approaches were ofessional sharing s	d resources; ct of activities and disseminated in the essions); and enrich the language es have helped ping students with 52.

Criteria	Performance indicators	#Self-eval	luation (Please put	a ✓ in the appropr	riate box.)
		teaching ideas v An additional E	ey also developed movith all the teachers nglish teacher suppoint P2-P6 to enhance	in weekly co-plann ort was arranged in	one of the weekly
		other panels in to meeting as well development shows A print-rich envious developed writing P4 students demas much as under the panels in the meeting as well	rironment has been ng modules.	review and final resolutions of the second resolution of the second res	view English fessional of the newly- writing in English for communicative
	Project goals set are in close alignment with the	Yes (Fulfilled)	←		No (Not fulfilled)
	school's major concerns and teachers'/students' needs.	4	3	2	1
Relevance (Goal alignment)	Proper mechanisms (e.g. regular project review meetings) are in place to ensure that project activities and outputs are consistent with the overall goal and the attainment of the objectives.	Please elaborate on • how goals are of the school, Justifications: > The writing mode Stage 2. Instruct differentiated to potential of mode > Project progress	set, managed and not teachers and student dules were aimed attions and levelled we provide less able s	tts. t bridging the achievorksheet were strattudents extra support	regically rt and stretch the achers in the

Criteria	Performance indicators	#Self-evaluation (Please put a ✓ in the appropriate box.)			priate box.)
		regularly revie	wed in the weekly lo	esson co-planning	sessions.
	Newly-developed materials are consistently	Yes (Fulfilled)	←	→	No (Not fulfilled)
	used after the implementation of approved	4	3	2	1
	curriculum initiatives and fully integrated with	✓			
	the existing English Language curriculum.		_		opted to sustain the
'	related students /professional development		beyond the project l		
	activities are conducted after the project period			ed curriculum ini	tiatives into the core
	for sustaining the benefits obtained.		uage Curriculum;	1	
			acquired instruction e programme and ex	_	
Sustainability		Justifications:	e programme and ea	ctending it to other	lievels
(Continuation of a			s and 8 writing mod	ules in P4 have be	en incorporated into
project's goals,			sh Language Curricu		т
principles, and efforts			ctional strategies hav		P4 classrooms and
to achieve		non-fiction tex	ts are adopted to eq	uip P5 writing mo	dules improvement.
desired outcomes)		> 2 RaC modules	s and 8 writing mod	ules in P4 have be	en planned and are
		currently devel	loping in P5 to exten	nd the continuance	es of the project goals
			~		g diversity with equal
		-	ore able and less ab		,
			ject English teachers		ra human resources
		_	he project developm		641 - D.A. D., -1' 1
		·		•	ne of the P4 English
			ed as the seed teacher	•	year, and the NET are
			nme in P5 and P2.	as and support the	development of
		Similar program	inno in i 5 and i 2.		

Other details			
Issues or problems encountered during the reporting period which have impacted on the progress of the project and how they were/will be dealt with	Due to Covid-19 and the school suspension, we had to develop materials that meet the project and the zoom lessons in the reality. The core team encountered new challenges on teaching RaC and writing modules in an online mode. We asked for the professional support from the AT from the NET section for having lesson co-planning and lending the additional reading materials for supporting RaC and writing modules, and the formal reading levelling assessment kits.		
Other areas that the core team would like to raise which are not covered above	Extra-funding may be necessary for purchasing non-fiction written texts, eBooks including interactive reading platforms and AI-writing platforms to motivate students to read and write on their leisure or interests.		
Good practices identified (if any)	 Our school is/is not* willing to share good practices with other schools. Please give details about the school's good practices related to: development and execution of English Language teaching and learning resources; implementation of project activities; deployment of additional resources; and formulation and application of new instructional strategies. At least 1 RaC module, 1 theme related to life education and/or GS are integrated with 2 of the writing modules in P2-P6 in this academic year with the experiences gained in the project in order to fulfill the school annual major concerns. 		
Successful experience (if any)	Please describe any significant differences created regarding the following due to the project: • enhancement of the existing English Language curriculum; • establishment of an English language-rich environment; • enhancement of students' language skills and learning motivation;		

Other details

- catering for students' diverse learning needs;
- enhancement of teachers' professional capacity; and
- collaboration among teachers.

English corner with fiction and non-fiction levelled books, magazines and videos have been newly setup and highly promoted since the last academic year in order to help our students increase their exposure to reading different kinds of text-types outside class time. The school library has already purchased more than 600 fiction and non-fiction books in the last academic year. The school-based word bank including more than 20 common categories for supporting writing modules in KS1 and KS2 have been developed and launched with sound tracks since last summer holiday. Not only P4 students, but it was also promoted to all the students in other levels to do pre-learning of writing modules during summer holidays for the current academic year. Newly designed book reports, school-based rewarding points scheme, weekly students reading sharing sessions and RaC reading passport are also adopted from this academic year to encourage our students to increase their time to read for their leisure or interests.

Remarks:

* Please delete as appropriate.

Rating scale

Score	Rating Scale		
4	Related indicators have been completely fulfilled.		
3	Related indicators have been largely fulfilled.		
2	Related indicators have been adequately fulfilled but corrective actions are needed.		
1	Related indicators have not been fulfilled.		

⁺ For details, please refer to pages 6-9 of the English Language Education Key Learning Area Curriculum Guide (Primary 1 - Secondary 6) (2017) https://www.edb.gov.hk/attachment/en/curriculum-development/renewal/ELE/ELE KLACG P1-S6 Eng 2017.pdf

Signature of Principal:

Name of Principal: ____CHUNG WAI KUEN

Date: 3 0 NOV 2021

